

Date: Tue, 8 Mar 94 13:28:51 PST
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #262
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Tue, 8 Mar 94 Volume 94 : Issue 262

Today's Topics:

 CAN WE SELL STUFF HERE?
 Further criminalization of scanning (2 msgs)
 HELP: Yaesu ft-26 reset problems.
 JARGON
 Looking for KA2ZNB
 Macintosh Ham Radio Software 1.0.6 - 3/94
 PY0FM
 PY0FM and 6Y5IC (2 msgs)
 QSL route for PJ8CW
 RS-15 info
 Sound Blaster stupidity
 Ten-Tec question (2 msgs)
 Wanted: info on TOKYO HY-POWER 2M amp
 Why no 10 meter activity??

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 8 Mar 94 17:19:07 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: CAN WE SELL STUFF HERE?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Here is a real naive question, but is it ok to list ham related items for
sale on the LIST? Please differentiate Official Replies from Official Opinions
. TNX, WY2G, Brian

Date: 8 Mar 94 11:56:30 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!
news.delphi.com!gilbaronw0mn@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Further criminalization of scanning
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

>Mickey R Henniger (henniger@crl.com) wrote:

>
>: Getting PGP will not solve the problem. I feel our government intends to:
>
>: 1. provide a somewhat viable encryption standard with a govt backdoor.
>This is unnecessary, why create a backdoor when you have the key? All
>clipper keys are in the possession of our government with no LAWS to
>protect them. Clipper is probably secure except for the fact that the
>keys are all in "their" hands. see sci.crypt or alt.security.pgp
>
>: 2. pass laws which make it illegal to use non-govt sanctioned encryption.
>: (after all, if you are innocent, what do you have to hide?)
>This is a possibility. A scary one.
>
>
>: The standard argument has always been '...in the national interest...'
>: The question becomes:
>: "Do we really want to give up the last sanction of privacy left to us?"
>No!
>
>OBradio: I mentioned it before but got no responses, is the use of PGP
>verification acceptable on packet?
>
>cheers,
>Dan
>--

>*-----*
>* Daniel D. Todd Packet: KC6UUD@KE6LW.#nocal.ca.usa *
>* Internet: ddtodd@ucdavis.edu *
>* Snail Mail: 1750 Hanover #102 *
>* Davis CA 95616 *
>*-----*
>* All opinions expressed herein are completely fictitious any *
>* resemblance to actual opinions of persons living or dead is *
>* completely coincidental. *
>*-----*
>
>

It is not legal to use any form of encryption on amateur radio so it is not
ok on packet. I doubt they are going to bother you though. They hardly stop

blatant interference so why worry about small stuff like that. It is illegal though.

Gil Baron, El Baron Rojo, WOMN Rochester,MN
"Bailar es Vivir"
PGP2.3 key at key servers or upon request

Date: 8 Mar 94 11:56:02 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!
news.delphi.com!gilbaronw0mn@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Further criminalization of scanning
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

>gilbaronw0mn@delphi.com (Gilbert Baron) writes:

>

>>>

>>>In article <1994Mar2.175938.12119@alw.nih.gov>, weisen@alw.nih.gov (Neil
>>Weisenfeld) writes...

>>>>What I think we should do is write to our congresspeople and tell them
why

>>>>we feel the cellular and cordless privacy laws are so misguided. Even
if

>[...stuff deleted...]

>>>your home, as long as you didn't act on or disclose what you heard. You
are

>>>now a criminal if you choose to *tune in* to these particular band

>>segments,

>[...stuff deleted...]

>>>regardless of what you do with what you hear. More such laws will follow,

>>>since government and regulations never shrink in size.

>>>-Tom R. N100Q randolph@est.enet.dec.com

>>>

>>Sad, very sad. And it does not really protect anyone. In fact it gives too

>>many people a false sense of security. Digital technology with encryption

>>will protect people and that is what we should have. Of course the

>>government is trying to get its dirty summy rotten hands intot hat too.

Can

>>you say Clipper. They will pry my key out of my cokld dead hands and no

>>other way.

>>Get PGP and be safe.

> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

>> Gil Baron, El Baron Rojo, WOMN Rochester,MN

>> "Bailar es Vivir"

>> PGP2.3 key at key servers or upon request

>

>Getting PGP will not solve the problem. I feel our government intends to:
>
>1. provide a somewhat viable encryption standard with a govt backdoor.
>
>2. pass laws which make it illegal to use non-govt sanctioned encryption.
> (after all, if you are innocent, what do you have to hide?)
>
>The problem cannot be resolved by getting a backing for PGP (or your
favorite
>encryption algorithm), but by supporting the idea that (real) encryption
>should not be an illegal activity.
>The government has the ability to get virtually every piece of private info
>you have (via wiretap, access to financial data, warrants, lock picking
>abilities, etc.) but with the advent of cheap processing, anyone can now
>lock information and the Government can't pick the lock.
>
>The standard argument has always been '...in the national interest...'
>The question becomes:
> "Do we really want to give up the last sanction of privacy left to us?"
>
>This is where I believe the real issue lies.
>
>Mick
>
>
And I totally agree with you. I have PGP though jsut in case. Even if it
becomes illegal, so what, I f I need it I will use it anyhow. I agree with
you and support what you say.

Gil Baron, El Baron Rojo, WOMN Rochester,MN
"Bailar es Vivir"
PGP2.3 key at key servers or upon request

Date: Tue, 8 Mar 1994 06:58:19 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!math.ohio-state.edu!jussieu.fr!
univ-lyon1.fr!swidir.switch.ch!news.unige.ch!ugcmu!burrus@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: HELP: Yaesu ft-26 reset problems.
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I have done a reset on my ft-26, pressing simultaneously
" T " and " REV " while turning the transceiver on.

Before this operation I had RX 130-174 MHz
TX 140-150 MHz

and now I have only RX 144-148 MHz

TX 144-148 MHz

I have never open the transceiver to do some mods.
How can I retrieve the old limit values ?
How can I reprogram them ?
Can I change them ?

Thank's in advance
Christophe

Date: 8 Mar 1994 03:37:44 -0500
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!news.intercon.com!digex.net!
digex.net!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: JARGON
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

benjy@benjy.cc.vt.edu (Ben E. Cline) writes:
>jlw3@cec3.wustl.edu (Jesse L Wei)
>> Now this is my question: do hams *ever* talk about anything besides
>what
>> kind of rig (s)he's got, ham problems, ham equipment, etc? As a waiting

>In my area, most hams talk about their location. For example,
> Ham1: "I'm sitting in the parking lot at work. Where are you?"
> Ham2: "I'm in the drive-through at Wendy's."
>So sometimes technical discussions are less boring than other topics
>:-).

If you listen to certain repeaters in metropolitan areas,
you would likely hear more enlightening conversations.

As you might expect, some hams get hot around the collar,
ready to dial the local F.C.C. monitoring station if
someone discussing topics other than those "boring"
ones listed above.

What a crowd!

--

rec.nude: your exit to good living along the Information Toll Road.
finger bote@access.digex.net for PGP key and an operator will help you.
Only 25 days until Opening Day!

Date: 8 Mar 1994 07:56:26 GMT
From: sdd.hp.com!nigel.msen.com!math.fu-berlin.de!news.th-darmstadt.de!
terra.wiwi.uni-frankfurt.de!zeus.rbi.informatik.uni-frankfurt.de!news.dfn.de!
news.uni-jena.de!prakin2.PrakInf@ihnp4.ucsd.edu
Subject: Looking for KA2ZNB
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hi,
I am looking for the location of KA2ZNB, who lived in Cape Cod previously.
The address in the NA-callbook seems not to be updated.
Does anybody know him?
We had many 2m QSOs when he has been in Germany. But now we have lost the
connections.
My former callsign was Y21DK , now I am DG00D.
73, and thanks for reading this message.

T E C H N I C A L U N I V E R S I T Y I L M E N A U
=====

Technische Universitaet ILMENAU Tel. ++49 3677 691258
Dipl.-Ing. Wilfried Besig
Am Ehrenberg, Haus F, Fak. MB
PSF 327
D-98684 Ilmenau

email: Wil@Maschinenbau.tu-ilmenau.de
(ax25: DG00D@DB0RSV.#THR.DEU.EU)

Date: 8 Mar 1994 11:18:13 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!ucsnews!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!
usenet.ins.cwru.edu!cleveland.Freenet.Edu!da884@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Macintosh Ham Radio Software 1.0.6 - 3/94
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

SD> Macintosh Amateur Radio Software - March 1994
SD> Version 1.06
SD>

SD> Please feel free to distribute this list as widely as
SD> possible. Many of you have asked if it is OK to cross-post
SD> this list? I don't mind, but I would like to know where it
SD> is going. If you think it should be cross-posted to other
SD> USENET groups, please let me know so I can try and manage
SD> these. We don't want to have any duplication or our welcome
SD> will be wore out! I will also try and honor individual

SD> requests for this list... but I do not always catch all of
SD> the newsgroups postings... so please DO NOT reply/ask there!
SD> If I am a little slow in getting the list back to you...
SD> hang in there!

OH! this is ok to post right?? It's only 35K text file.

--

```
-----  
|      David      AMPRnet - ve3tos@ve3tos.ampr.org      www      |  
|      VE3TOS      Internet - da884@cleveland.freenet.edu      (o o)      |  
|----- Packet - ve3tos@va3bbs.#scon.on.can.noam__o00__(_)__00o_|  
-----
```

Date: 8 Mar 94 13:55:57 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!
news.msfc.nasa.gov!news.larc.nasa.gov!eos1.larc.nasa.gov!eckman@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: PY0FM
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1994Mar8.133908.29432@rsg1.er.usgs.gov> junger@rsg1.er.usgs.gov (John
Unger) writes:

>
>Well, my callbook (94) says that PY0FM's QSL route is via PY5CC,
>but I asked him for a route when I worked him during the contest
>and I have written down on my notes "via PY7CC". I assume that
>the disagreement between this note and the callbook is due to
>contest fatigue on my or PY0FM's part and that the callbook is
>correct. Did anyone else actually get a route from him while
>on the air?

>
Yes, I heard him on 10 meters this weekend and he said "via PY5CC".
Then again, I tried this route over a year ago and never received a
response (for a direct QSL request). Has anyone ever had any luck
with this route?

Richard Eckman K04MR
NASA Langley

Date: 8 Mar 94 13:39:08 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!wupost!
ukma!rsg1.er.usgs.gov!junger@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: PY0FM and 6Y5IC
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

>
>1) PY0FM - which island group, and how to get a card?
>2) 6Y5IC - any special route to get a card?
>
>

Well, my callbook (94) says that PY0FM's QSL route is via PY5CC, but I asked him for a route when I worked him during the contest and I have written down on my notes "via PY7CC". I assume that the disagreement between this note and the callbook is due to contest fatigue on my or PY0FM's part and that the callbook is correct. Did anyone else actually get a route from him while on the air?

cheers -- John Unger, W3G0I

Date: 8 Mar 94 16:00:47 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!fc.hp.com!jayk@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: PY0FM and 6Y5IC
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Scott Richard Rosenfeld (ham@wam.umd.edu) wrote:

: 1) PY0FM - which island group

The _0F_ in his call is used to indicate that he is on Fernando de Noronha.

73, Jay K0GU jayk@fc.hp.com

Date: 8 Mar 94 14:00:52 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: QSL route for PJ8CW
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Does anyone have the QSL route for PJ8CW that I worked this past weekend in the ARRL DX contest?

73,
Scott

Scott Ginsburg Voice: 508-436-3836
Wellfleet Communications Internet: ginsburg@wellfleet.com
2 Federal St. Amateur Radio: WA2CJT
Billerica, MA 01821

Date: 8 Mar 94 13:30:46 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: RS-15 info
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hello,

I noticed Amateur Radio Newsline #864 mentioned a new Russian ham satellite will be launched later this month. I was wondering if someone could tell me where I could find some information on this new satellite.

Thanks and 73

TJ, kv2x

--

Thomas J. Jennings | Tel: (716) 273 7071
Development Engineer | Fax: (716) 273 7262
|
ABB Process Automation |
Post Office Box 22685 |
Rochester, New York 14692-2685 |
|

Internet: jennings@jennings.rochny.uspra.abb.com

Date: 8 Mar 94 09:08:34 GMT
From: news.Hawaii.Edu!uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu!jherman@ames.arpa
Subject: Sound Blaster stupidity
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1994Feb23.113305.7405@hemlock.cray.com> andyw@aspen32.cray.com (Andy Warner) writes:

>

>In article <N4HY.94Feb22150159@runner.ccr-p.ida.org>, n4hy@runner.ccr-p.ida.org (Bob McGwier) writes:

>>

>> Creative Technology DSP folks have given me an unbelievable reply. The
>> [Bobs obvious disapointment with CT's myopic world view deleted...]
>> to be more open about its architecture and/or the most versatile for our

>> applications.

Still sounds contrived to me.

>
>This must be some holy creed inside CT, since they did the same thing
>to *BSD developers a while back, so most developers switched efforts
>to the Gracillis card. Gracillis were more than willing to let people
>develop software for their card - if they have a comparable product,
>I suggest you contact them, you may be pleasantly surprised.
>
>Be sure to post repetative, boring posts of your progress so that
>we may compare it with the Hambluster :-)

But keep in mind that they're NOT ads because the item is not for sale
yet (even though the price was posted for us).

>
>--
>andyw NOREN/G1XRL
>
>andyw@aspen.cray.com Andy Warner, Cray Research, Inc. (612) 683-5835

Jeff NH6IL

Date: 8 Mar 94 14:57:51 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: Ten-Tec question
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I recently purchased a TEN-TEC Omni-D and the thing works great. I'm
having a good deal of fun making contacts temporarily using my ~80 foot
dog run as a wire antenna (usually low power and definitely with no
dog attached). The rig came with all the filters, but no noise blanker.
I am new to this game and wondered what the noise blanker would buy me.
The filters really let me single out the CW ops in a crowd (but they need
to be relatively strong). I am guessing the noise blanker would be of
better use with SSB. By virtue of its name I would say the blanker
reduces the background static. Am I far wrong and is this a useful
feature on this rig?

Ron Rossi

/=====/
/ IBM Microelectronics Internet: rrossi@vnet.ibm.com /
/ H/P ASIC SRAM Design VNET: RROSSI at BTVLABVM /

/ 1000 River Road RF: N1PBT/AE /
/ Essex Junction, VT 05452-4299 /
/ /
/ "I work for IBM, I don't represent its views!" /
/ /
/=====/

Date: 8 Mar 94 16:32:15 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!
usenet.ins.cwru.edu!cleveland.Freenet.Edu!co128@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Ten-Tec question
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Noise blankers typically do their best job on periodic
impulse noise (car ignitions, light dimmers). They
have little effect however on atmospheric background
like the kind you hear on 40 & 80 meters.

--
JIM MARTIN W8AC
co128@cleveland.freenet.edu

Date: 5 Mar 94 22:49:08 GMT
From: hp-cv!hp-pcd!hpcvra!gregm@hplabs.hp.com
Subject: Wanted: info on TOKYO HY-POWER 2M amp
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

WANTED: Schematic or info on TOKYO HY-POWER 2M amp

I have a need to tune up this newly acquired amp without
the documentation. Anyone out there with paperwork of
any kind?

I do have some gain, but it is below spec -- would like
to see a schematic to do the final tuneup.

Any help would be appreciated.

transistor is Motorola MRF247
Amp is model HL-82V
2-10W in
35-80W out
(I put in 5 and get 20)

Apparently, they quit importing these things in the mid 80's.
does have preamp and supports FM, SSB, CW

Thanks in advance.

Greg May
KB40X
(503) 752-9040 eve
(503) 750-3092 day
gregm@cv.hp.com

Date: 8 Mar 1994 09:40:18 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!pipex!zaphod.crihan.fr!
jussieu.fr!univ-lyon1.fr!elendir@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Why no 10 meter activity??
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

PaulG105 (paulg105@aol.com) wrote:
: Why is there no 10 meter activity?? Is it because of the band openings? If
: so, how do you know when the openings are good?? 10 meters seems to have
: become or is a dead band.

Well, I sometimes hear CW QSOs round 28.05 28.1 MHz. Last saturday, I heard
4XPU from near Tel Aviv, Israel, QSG : 28.48 MHz if I remember correctly.

The band is not ccompletely closed, but the openings are quite rare, and
rely mostly on sporadic E, since the MUF is seldom wandering above 20 MHz.

Be patient.

Vince (14 weeks and waiting)

Date: 8 Mar 94 15:47:00 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!news.ucdavis.edu!chip.ucdavis.edu!
ez006683@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <N4HY.94Feb22150159@runner.ccr-p.ida.org>,
<1994Feb23.113305.7405@hemlock.cray.com>, <CMC9EB.Kr1@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Subject : Re: Sound Blaster stupidity

Jeffrey Herman (jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu) wrote:

: But keep in mind that they're NOT ads because the item is not for sale

: yet (even though the price was posted for us).
I've seen this argument posited before, I'm not sure if it's real or not. Anyone who thinks that the non-existence of a product means that ads for it are not advertisements should look at the last couple months of QST. Lots of companies advertise before the product is actually available. (Sorta like President Clinton and the health care program)

cheers,
Dan

--

```
*-----*
* Daniel D. Todd      Packet: KC6UUD@KE6LW.#nocal.ca.usa      *
*                    Internet: ddtodd@ucdavis.edu              *
*                    Snail Mail: 1750 Hanover #102             *
*                    Davis CA 95616                           *
*-----*
* All opinions expressed herein are completely fictitious any *
* resemblance to actual opinions of persons living or dead is  *
* completely coincidental.                                     *
*-----*
```

Date: 8 Mar 94 15:58:00 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!math.ohio-state.edu!news.acns.nwu.edu!
casbah.acns.nwu.edu!rdewan@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1994Feb23.113305.7405@hemlock.cray.com>, <CMC9EB.Kr1@news.Hawaii.Edu>,
<CMCruE.8n6@ucdavis.edu>
Subject : Re: Sound Blaster stupidity

In article <CMCruE.8n6@ucdavis.edu>,
Daniel D. Todd <ez006683@chip.ucdavis.edu> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman (jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu) wrote:
>
>: But keep in mind that they're NOT ads because the item is not for sale
>: yet (even though the price was posted for us).
>I've seen this argument posited before, I'm not sure if it's real or
>not. Anyone who thinks that the non-existence of a product means that
>ads for it are not advertisements should look at the last couple months
>of QST. Lots of companies advertise before the product is actually
>available. (Sorta like President Clinton and the health care program)

Indeed. I think that they are called 'Teasers' - a common marketing ploy. It is used to signal prospective customers and competitors. To

competitors: better watch out, I am going to come out with this new product.
It is a 'territorial' thing. Like dogs marking the fire hydrant.

Rajiv
aa9ch
r-dewan@nwu.edu

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #262

